UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,086	11/19/2003	Charles Q. Zhan	I20 06739US	7034	
	7590 01/17/200 , INTERNATIONAL I	EXAMINER			
101 COLUMBIA ROAD			SUN, XIUQIN		
P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOW	N, NJ 07962-2245	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·	,		2863		
				· · ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/717,086	ZHAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Xiuqin Sun	2863	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Xiuqin Sun	2863	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI		·	, 555
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a). The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advert, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the is after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension of the standard of t	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	cned.
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's arguments filed 12/18/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to Applicants' request for providing "support (either in statues, rules, case law, or MPEP) for the assertion that results must be output, displayed, or stored in order for claiming subject matter to be statutory", the Examiner deems that the "Interim Guidelines" published at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/og/2005/week47/patgupa.htm contains specific and well-defined requirement and eligibility for claiming subject matter to be statutory. It has been held that the claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02, ("the transformation of data, representing discrete dollar amounts, by a machine through a series of mathematical calculations into a final share price, constitutes a practical application of a mathematical algorithm, formula, or calculation, because it produces 'a useful, concrete and tangible result' - a final share price momentarily fixed for recording and reporting purposes and even accepted and relied upon by regulatory authorities and in subsequent trades."). In this case, claims 1, 9, 15, 22 and 24 of the instant application appear to be directed to an algorithm for determining an overall probability of a valve defect rather than a practical application of the algorithm in the real world. The claims do not produce any tangible results. The practical application of the claimed invention cannot be realized until the determined probability is conveyed to the user. For the result to be tangible it would need to output to a user or displayed to a user or stored for later use. Hence the claims are treated as non-statutory subject matter (See MPEP Sec. 2106). Rejection of claims 1-27 under 35 U.S.C. 101 set forth in Office action dated 11/16/2006 is therefore maintained.

John Barløw

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800